



## Original article

# Identification and specificity validation of unique and antimicrobial resistance genes to trace suspected pathogenic AMR bacteria and to monitor the development of AMR in non-AMR strains in the environment and clinical settings



Bhagwan Narayan Rekadwad<sup>a,\*</sup>, Nanditha Pramod<sup>b</sup>, Manik Prabhu Narsing Rao<sup>c</sup>, Abeer Hashem<sup>d</sup>, Graciela Dolores Avila-Quezada<sup>e</sup>, Elsayed Fathi Abd\_Allah<sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Microbe AI Lab, Department of Microbiology and Biotechnology, Yenepoya Research Centre, Yenepoya (Deemed to be University), Mangalore 575018, Karnataka, India

<sup>b</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Pondicherry University, Pondicherry 605014, India

<sup>c</sup> Instituto de Ciencias Químicas Aplicadas, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Autónoma de Chile, Sede Talca, Talca 3460000, Chile

<sup>d</sup> Botany and Microbiology Department, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box. 2460, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

<sup>e</sup> Facultad de Ciencias Agropecuarias, Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua, 31350 Chihuahua, Chihuahua, México

<sup>f</sup> Plant Production Department, College of Food and Agricultural Sciences, King Saud University, P.O. Box. 2460, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

## ARTICLE INFO

## ABSTRACT

**Keywords:**

Strain-specific genes  
Pathogenic bacterial strains  
Antimicrobial resistance  
Genome-based detection method  
Molecular detection of pathogenic bacteria  
Multiplex PCR

The detection of developing antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has become a global issue. The detection of developing antimicrobial resistance has become a global issue. The growing number of AMR bacteria poses a new threat to public health. Therefore, a less laborious and quick confirmatory test becomes important for further investigations into developing AMR in the environment and in clinical settings. This study aims to present a comprehensive analysis and validation of unique and antimicrobial-resistant strains from the WHO priority list of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria and previously reported AMR strains such as *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Aeromonas* spp., *Anaeromonas frigorifera*, *Anaeromonas gelatinilytica*, *Bacillus* spp., *Campylobacter jejuni* subsp. *jejuni*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Helicobacter pylori*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* subsp. *pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar *Typhimurium*, *Thermomonospora toyohensis*, and *Vibrio proteolyticus*. Using in-house designed gene-specific primers, 18 different antibiotic resistance genes (*algJ*, *alpB*, *AQU-1*, *CEPH-A3*, *ciaB*, *CMY-1-MOX-7*, *CMY-1-MOX-9*, *CMY-1/MOX*, *cphA2*, *cphA5*, *cphA7*, *ebpA*, *ECP\_4655*, *flc*, *OXA-51*, *RfbU*, *ThiU2*, and *tolB*) from 46 strains were selected and validated. Hence, this study provides insight into the identification of strain-specific, unique antimicrobial resistance genes. Targeted amplification and verification using selected unique marker genes have been reported. Thus, the present detection and validation use a robust method for the entire experiment. Results also highlight the presence of another set of 18 antibiotic-resistant and unique genes (*Aqu1*, *cphA2*, *cphA3*, *cphA5*, *cphA7*, *cmy1/mox7*, *cmy1/mox9*, *asal*, *ascV*, *asoB*, *oxa-12*, *acr-2*, *pepA*, *uo65*, *pli*, *dr0274*, *tapY2*, and *cpeT*). Of these sets of genes, 15 were found to be suitable for the detection of pathogenic strains belonging to the genera *Aeromonas*, *Pseudomonas*, *Helicobacter*, *Campylobacter*, *Enterococcus*, *Klebsiella*, *Acinetobacter*, *Salmonella*, *Haemophilus*, and *Bacillus*. Thus, we have detected and verified sets of unique and antimicrobial resistance genes in bacteria on the WHO Priority List and from published reports on AMR bacteria. This study offers advantages for confirming antimicrobial resistance in all suspected AMR bacteria and monitoring the development of AMR in non-AMR bacteria, in the environment, and in clinical settings.

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [rekapadwad@yenepoya.edu.in](mailto:rekapadwad@yenepoya.edu.in), [rekapadwad@gmail.com](mailto:rekapadwad@gmail.com) (B.N. Rekapadwad), [20368035@pondiuni.ac.in](mailto:20368035@pondiuni.ac.in) (N. Pramod), [manik.narsing@uautonoma.cl](mailto:manik.narsing@uautonoma.cl) (M.P.N. Rao), [habeer@ksu.edu.sa](mailto:habeer@ksu.edu.sa) (A. Hashem), [gavila@uach.mx](mailto:gavila@uach.mx) (G.D. Avila-Quezada), [eabdullah@ksu.edu.sa](mailto:eabdullah@ksu.edu.sa) (E.F. Abd\_Allah).

## 1. Introduction

The life forms that inhabit this world range from inconspicuous organisms to large, multicellular, advanced beings. Realizing that the most significant proportion of earth dwellers are microscopic organisms is fascinating. Bacteria are highly cosmopolitan in existence. Even a square area of the soil is colonized by a cocktail of millions of bacteria. However, the diversity and relative abundance of bacterial phyla vary from soil to soil (Gupta et al. 2017). A minor proportion of these bacteria are pathogenic and can result in catastrophic events in humans (Khan et al. 2022). Antimicrobial resistance studies are crucial because they address the growing threat of bacteria and other pathogens becoming resistant to antibiotics (Ventola, 2015). This phenomenon endangers global health, making previously treatable infections deadly (Serwecińska, 2020). Understanding and combating antimicrobial resistance is vital to preserving the effectiveness of our current medical arsenal and ensuring a healthier future (Annunziato, 2019). Antimicrobial resistance genes in bacteria on the WHO priority list are of paramount importance because they pose severe threats to human health. These genes can render antibiotics ineffective, making infections harder to treat, leading to prolonged illness, increased mortality rates, and higher healthcare costs. Urgent research and action are essential to combat this global health crisis (WHO, <https://www.who.int/>). Therefore, the health and well-being of humans rely on the rapid and early detection of pathogenic organisms. The conventional detection methods of identification of bacteria by isolation and culturing on agar media and confirmation by biochemical and serological testing are cumbersome and time-consuming (Rajapaksha et al. 2019). In addition, the finding that less than 10 % of soil bacteria can be cultured fueled the need for rapid detection techniques. Cutting down the culturing step facilitates the detection of bacteria using PCR amplification (Petti, 2007; Gupta et al. 2017). Diverse forms of PCR, namely, real-time PCR, multiplex PCR, RT-PCR, and droplet digital PCR (ddPCR), are currently used for bacterial detection and quantification. Although techniques such as gene sequencing (Petti, 2007), flow cytometry, optical biosensors, and bioluminescent sensors are available for bacterial detection, PCR remains the most commonly used detection method that utilizes DNA- and RNA-based assays for bacterial identification. Molecular detection methods are rapid and sensitive for bacterial detection, including the identification of emerging pathogens. The sensitivity of the detection assay can be further improved by designing new primers (Rajapaksha et al. 2019). Several gene targets act as important tools in molecular detection assays. The functionally constant, conserved regions of the genes provide universality of the targets annealed by PCR primers. Generally, bacterial identification is performed using the 16S rRNA gene (Petti, 2007). However, the use of strain-specific genes for the identification of bacteria has been reported in recent studies. The detection of bacteria using strain-specific genes proves to be fast, efficient, inexpensive, and reliable. This technique allows the differentiation of bacterial strains that share a significant level of similarity in their morphology and physiology. Here, PCR primers are designed to target the single-copy genes present exclusively in a particular strain. It is worth noting that combining the primer pairs and running multiplexing PCR helps to characterize mixtures of strains simultaneously. This detection assay is highly flexible, as identifying a strain-specific gene helps to determine and distinguish specific bacterial strains (Ferrandis-Vila et al. 2022).

The present study employs the use of strain-specific genes identified in silico to detect and characterize intended bacterial strains from coastal soil samples employing multiplexing PCR. The strain-specific genes of *Aeromonas* spp., *Helicobacter pylori*, *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Salmonella enterica*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Klebsiella pneumonia*, and *Enterococcus faecalis* identified through BV-BRC and BLAST analysis were used to design PCR primers. Multiplexing PCR was used to validate the presence of these bacterial strains.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Computational analysis for identification of strain-specific genes

Forty-six pathogenic bacterial strains were selected for the study. The unique genes of the respective bacterial strains were obtained through annotation using the Bacterial and Viral Bioinformatics Resource Centre (BV-BRC) webserver (Olson et al. 2022). The NCBI database was screened for previously reported papers and data available on the selected genes. NCBI-BLAST analysis was performed to confirm the identity and specific genes. The uniqueness of the selected genes to a specific bacterial strain was determined from the percentage sequence similarity obtained from BLAST analysis. Fifteen genes were identified to be uniquely expressed individually in 20 bacterial strains and were used in designing primers for laboratory validation (Fig. S1).

### 2.2. Criteria for selection of the reference genomes and bioprojects

The reference genomes and bioprojects of 46 selected pathogenic bacteria that are highly prevalent in environmental samples were obtained from the NCBI database (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>). This included *Acinetobacter baumannii* K09 (NZ\_CP043953.1), *Aeromonas allosaccharophila* FDAARGOS\_933 (GCF\_016026615.1), *Aeromonas allosaccharophila* FDAARGOS\_933 (NZ\_CP065745.1), *Aeromonas aquatic* AE235 contig7 (NZ\_JRGL01000007.1), *Aeromonas australiensis* CECT 8023 (NZ\_CDDH01000062.1), *Aeromonas bestiarum* GA97-22 Contig0001 (NZ\_PPUX01000001.1), *Aeromonas bivalvium* ZJ19-2 NODE 1 (NZ\_NXBQ01000001.1), *Aeromonas cavernicola* DSM 24474 (NZ\_PGGC01000005.1), *Aeromonas caviae* WP8-S18-ESBL-04 (NZ\_AP022254.1), *Aeromonas dhakensis* 71\_431 (NZ\_CP084351.1), *Aeromonas diversa* CDC 2478-85 (NZ\_CDCE01000029.1), *Aeromonas encheleia* NCTC12917 (NZ\_LR134376.1), *Aeromonas enteropelogenes* FDAARGOS\_1537 (NZ\_CP084358.1), *Aeromonas eucrenophila* CECT 4224 (NZ\_CDDF01000005.1), *Aeromonas finlandensis* 4287D contig286 (NZ\_JRGK01000286.1), *Aeromonas fluialis* LMG 24681 (NZ\_CDBO01000011.1), *Aeromonas hydrophila* FDAARGOS\_916 (NZ\_CP065651.1), *Aeromonas jandaei* FDAARGOS\_986 (NZ\_CP066092.1), *Aeromonas lacus* AE122 Contig147 (NZ\_JRGM01000147.1), *Aeromonas lusitana* MDC 2473 A (NZ\_PGCP01000003.1), *Aeromonas media* TR3\_1 (NZ\_CP075564.1), *Aeromonas molluskorum* 848 Cont1 (NZ\_AQQG01000001.1), *Aeromonas piscicola* LMG 24783 (NZ\_CDBL01000052.1), *Aeromonas popoffii* CIP 105493 (NZ\_CDBI01000014.1), *Aeromonas rivipollensis* G78 G78 contig\_29 (NZ\_JAAILA01000003.1), *Aeromonas rivuli* 20-VB00005 (NZ\_CP079742.1), *Aeromonas salmonicida* SRW-OG1(NZ\_CP051883.1), *Aeromonas sanarelli* LMG 24682 (NZ\_CDBN01000021.1), *Aeromonas schubertii* ATCC 43700 Scaffold1 (NZ\_LPUO01000001.1), *Aeromonas simiae* A6 (NZ\_CP040449.1), *Aeromonas sobria* CECT 4245 (NZ\_CDBW01000006.1), *Aeromonas taiwanensis* LMG 24683 (NZ\_CDDD01000101.1), *Aeromonas tecta* CECT 7082 NZ\_CDCA01000036.1), *Aeromonas veronii* FDAARGOS\_632 (NZ\_CP044060.1), *Anaeromonas frigoriresistens* D2Q NZ\_WSFT01000053.1 & NZ\_WSFU01000119.1), *Campylobacter jejuni* subsp. *jejuni* NCTC 11168 (NC\_002163.1), *Enterococcus faecalis* EnGen0336 (NZ\_KB944666.1), *Escherichia coli* O157 H7 Sakai (NC\_002695.2), *Haemophilus influenzae* 477 (NZ\_CP007470.1), *Helicobacter pylori* MT5135 (NZ\_CP071982.1), *Klebsiella pneumonia* subsp. *pneumoniae* HS11286 (NC\_016845.1), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 (NC\_002516.2), *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar *typhimurium* LT2 (NC\_003197.2), *Thermaeromonas toyohensis* ToBE chromosome I (NZ\_LT838272.1), and *Vibrio proteolyticus* NBRC 13287 (NZ\_BATJ01000001.1). A total of 46 complete genomes were retrieved in the FASTA file format (Table S1). *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus cereus*-group strains were accessed for presence of AMR genes from the BV-BRC web server (<https://www.bv-brc.org/>) (Olson et al. 2022) for confirmation.

### 2.3. Annotation of sequence data

The 46 genomes and bioprojects of the selected bacterial strains were annotated on the BV-BRC web server (<https://www.bv-brc.org/>) (Olson et al. 2022). An individual annotation of each acquired genome was carried out on the BV-BRC Workspace website (Table S1), and some information was retrieved from published literature. Genome-based selective annotation was carried out to identify strain-specific unique genes, specialty genes, domains and motifs, critical pathways, and subsystems.

### 2.4. Identification of strain-specific unique genes

The termed “specialty” genes contained within the annotated entire reference genomes of each of the different bacterial strains were subjected to a one-by-one examination for the purpose of gene isolation. Through a process known as NCBI-BLAST analysis, the one-of-a-kindness of the gene that was found was determined. The genes that had the lowest percentage of similarity to other gene sequences were chosen. The BV-BRC was consulted to acquire FASTA files containing single-copy gene sequences. The effective publications that were received from the website of the List of Prokaryotic names with Standing in Nomenclature (LPSN) (Parte et al. 2020) were used to initially identify the strain-specific genes of the bacteria that were chosen for the investigation.

### 2.5. Selective screening for the presence of inter- and intragenus unique genes and detection of point mutations

All 46 inter- and intragenus strains were thoroughly analyzed for the presence of strain-specific unique genes to avoid errors during validation and misinterpretation of results. The presence of similar genes in other strains in the same genus and other strains in another genus were tested and cross-verified. The percentage of similarity among genes was also validated to check for any possible errors. The RIPper - Genome-Wide Repeat-Induced Point (RIP) Mutation Analysis (<https://theripper.hawk.rocks/#/home>) tool was used to check for point mutations (van Wyk et al., 2019).

### 2.6. Extraction of DNA from pure cultures

Genomic DNA was extracted from in-house-isolated environmental bacteria from coastal and estuarine soil samples using the phenol:chloroform:isoamyl (25:24:1) (PCA) method (P3803, Merck). This method has been employed on strains of *Kocuria*, *Acinetobacter*, *Aeromonas*, *Pseudomonas*, *Helicobacter*, *Campylobacter*, *Enterococcus*, *Klebsiella*, and *Salmonella*. Quality control strains such as *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Bacillus subtilis* were used to obtain DNA samples for the group that served as a control. The extracted DNA was measured with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (260/280 ratio). Mix 2 mg of a pure culture of bacteria with 100 µl of lysozyme (20 mg/ml) and incubate overnight at 37 °C. After incubation, 15 µl of proteinase K and 40 µl of 10 % SDS were added and brought up to 400 µl with TE buffer. Five microliters of RNase enzyme (100 µg/ml concentration) were added to the tube, which was incubated at 55 °C to 70 °C for four hours with intermittent vortexing. To this mixture, equal volumes (approximately 400 µl) of freshly prepared phenol, chloroform, and isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) were added and mixed by gentle inversion. The tubes were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 30 min. Upon centrifugation, distinct aqueous and organic phases were formed. The aqueous phase (i.e., the upper layer or aqueous layer containing DNA) was carefully transferred to a fresh tube. The volume of 3 M sodium acetate added was 10 % of the total volume of the aqueous phase. Then, 2.5 times ice-cold absolute ethanol was added to the solution and incubated overnight at –20 °C. After incubation, the tubes were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 30 min, and the supernatant was

discarded. The DNA pellet was washed twice with freshly prepared 70 % ethanol, and the pellet was allowed to air dry before being suspended in 20 µl of TE buffer. The isolated DNA was visualized on a 0.8 % agarose gel (Otal et al. 1991; de Almeida et al. 2013). The DNA concentration in each sample was adjusted to approximately 100 ng/µl for further analysis.

### 2.7. Design and validation of primers for PCR amplification and confirmation of unique genes using in silico tools

Selected unique genes were used as target genes for the design of primers for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and subsequent PCR amplification and confirmation of unique genes in a laboratory. A portion of a specific gene was selected, and primers were designed using the Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc., PrimerQuest™ Tool (IDT, 2023a). The expected properties of your oligos before wet laboratory validation for guanine and cytosine (GC) content, melting temperature (Tm), molecular weight, extinction coefficient, µg/OD, nmol/OD, to identify secondary structure potential, to minimize dimerization, and NCBI BLAST™ analysis have been closely examined using the OligoAnalyzer™ Tool (IDT, 2023b) to avoid further errors in experiments during validation. Designed primers were tested for working ability and confirmation of product generation by in silico PCR amplification (Franklin et al. 1996; Rekadwad et al. 2021). The objective of utilizing in silico PCR is to facilitate the acquisition of anticipated PCR outcomes from DNA through the utilization of contemporary bacterial genome sequences (Bikandi et al. 2004; Brown et al. 2005; Rocco et al. 2016).

### 2.8. Targeted amplification and wet-lab verification of unique genes by polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

PCR amplification and wet-lab verification of the concerned gene were performed by using in-house designed specific forward and reverse primers for genes - AQU-1/cphA2 (*aqcP\_222-F*, *aqcP\_744-R*), CMY-1/*MOX* (*cmy-mox\_241-F*, *cmy-mox\_769-R*), *cephA Family* (*cephA\_195-F*, *cephA\_422-R*, *cephA\_327-R*), *aqu1* (*aqu1\_128-F*, *aqu1\_1088-R*), *alpB* (*alpB\_450\_F*, *alpB\_1146\_R* and *alpB\_1155\_F*, *alpB\_1589\_R*), *ciaB* (*ciaB\_154-F*, *ciaB\_1082-R*), *rfbU* (*rfbU\_34*, *rfbU\_561*), *Oxa 51* (*oxa51\_281-F*, *oxa51\_692-R*), *ThiU2* (*thiU2\_157-F*, *thiU2\_356-R*), and *ebpA* (*ebpA\_242-F*, *ebpA\_892-R*), and ECP\_4655 (*ecp427-F*, *ecp652-R*) (Table 1).

PCR amplification and wet-lab verification were conducted for the selected genes using specific forward and reverse primers designed in-house. The primer pairs used for each gene are listed in Table 2. The PCR master mix was prepared by combining the eDNA template, forward and reverse primers, dNTPs, Taq DNA polymerase, and PCR buffer. The reaction conditions were set according to the annealing temperature specific to each pair of primers. Positive and negative controls were included in the PCRs using known samples. The reaction components were thoroughly mixed and distributed into PCR tubes. The tubes or plates were then placed into a thermal cycler, and PCR amplification was carried out for 35 cycles. The amplification protocol included an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min, annealing at the respective temperature for each set of primers, extension at 72 °C for 45 s, and a final extension at 72 °C for 7 min (Rocco et al. 2016). The annealing temperatures varied for each set of primers used for the amplification of the target genes. The annealing time was set to 45 s at temperatures of 63 °C, 63 °C, 64 °C, 53 °C, 61 °C, 55 °C, 57 °C, 57 °C, 53 °C, 56 °C, 55 °C, 43 °C, and 55.5 °C for the genes AQU-1/cphA2, cmy-1/mox, cephA Family (one forward and two reverse primers, separate PCRs were performed for each reverse primer), *aqu1*, *alpB*, *ciaB*, *rfbU*, *Oxa 51*, *ThiU2*, *ebpA*, and ECP\_4655, respectively. After PCR amplification, the resulting products were analyzed using agarose gel electrophoresis. The DNA bands were visualized under UV light and compared with a DNA ladder (100 bp) to determine the expected sizes for the respective target genes from known quality control strains such as *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and

**Table 1**

Primers for unique genes found in a selected set of 20 pathogenic and antibiotic-resistant bacteria.

Gene	Primer F/R	Sequence (5' to 3')	Start bp	No of bp	Tm Actual	Total gene bp	ssDNA bp	Product bp	Annealing Temp
AQU-1/ cphA2	aqcp_222-F aqcp_744-R	GGTCAGCGAGCAGACCCCTGTC GCTGGTCTTGTATGCCGTAGGCCTC	222 744	22 24	65.8 67.8	1149 1149	927 744	— 523	63
CMY-1/MOX	cmy-mox_241-F cmy-mox_769-R	GTCAGCGAGCAGACCCCTGTC CCGCCGAGCTGGTCTTGATGCC	241 769	22 22	65.8 67.7	1167 1167	1026 769	— 529	63
<i>cephA Family</i>									
cephA_195-F	GGCGACCTGGACGCCCGATAC	195	21	67.6	765	570	—		
cephA_422-R	TCCGGCAGCCCTGCGGGT	422	20	67.5	765	422	228	64	
cephA_327-R	GGACTTCCAGTAGGCGTTA	327	19	56.7	765	327	133	53	
aqu1	aqu1_128-F aqu1_1088-R	AGCACAGGATCCGGCATG CGGTTGGCCAGCATGACGATGC	128 1088	20 22	63.4 65.8	1149 1149	1021 1088	— 960	61
alpB	alpB_450_F alpB_1146_R alpB_1155_F alpB_1589_R	CCAAGGCAACCTGAGCTTTAT GAATGTGGCTTACCGCTACTAC CTTACGCTACTACGGCTTCTTC CGTAGGCCATAGACCCATAACAC	450 1146 1155 1589	22 22 22 22	58.4 60.3 60.3 60.3	1596 1596 1596 1596	1146 1146 434 434	— 696 — 434	55 57
ciaB	ciaB_154-F ciaB_1082-R	GCCATACTTAGGCCTTGATTG GGAACGACTTGAGCTGAGAATA	154 1082	22 22	58.4 58.4	1801 1801	1647 1082	— 929	57
rfbU	rfbU_34 rfbU_561	GGTACGGGAATGTGCAAATA CAACTTGACCAAACAGCTAAA	34 561	20 21	57.3 55.9	1001 1001	977 561	— 527	53
Oxa_51	oxa51_281-F oxa51_692-R	ATAAGGCAACCACACAGAAAG GCTAACAAACCCATCCAGTTA	281 692	21 21	57.9 57.9	801 801	520 692	— 411	56
ThiU2	thiU2_157-F thiU2_356-R	GCACTTCCACTTGTGCACTTAC ACCGATACCTTGGCCAAATAC	157 356	23 20	58.9 57.3	1301 1301	1144 356	— 200	55
ebpA	ebpA_242-F ebpA_892-R	CAGCTCAGCACCTAAGTTATT ACCGCTATCTGCCAATGTATC	242 892	22 21	45.5 47.6	3301 3301	2945 892	— 650	43
ECP_4655	ecp427-F ecp652-R	ATCACCGCAGGATCGTTAATC TGGTCCGGAGAGGTAATA	427 652	21 19	57.9 58.4	901 901	474 652	— 225	55.5

*Bacillus subtilis*. In-house isolated environmental bacteria from coastal soil samples, such *Acinetobacter* spp. and *Kocuria* spp., were used for confirmation of in silico results and experimental validation of unique genes (Mullis et al. 1986; Parkhill et al. 2000; Bikandi et al. 2004; Nallapareddy et al. 2006; San Millán et al. 2013; In silico PCR amplification, 2023). Based on PCR specific to the above bacterial taxa and visualization through gel electrophoresis, the *cmy-1/mox* and *aqu1* genes were successfully validated in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, followed by other taxa.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. An overview of specialized genes, antibiotic production ability, pathogenicity and reports on pathogenic strains

In the context of bacteria, specialized genes can contribute to their ability to produce antibiotics, enhance pathogenicity, or perform other specialized functions. Almost all analyzed bacterial strains do not have any published reports stating proven antimicrobial resistance or reported unique genes especially in *Aeromonas* in this study. Those reported have functions in antibiotic inactivation enzyme, beta-lactam resistance gene, *Campylobacter* invasion antigen B, virulence factor, adherence, biofilm formation, sortase-assembled pili, adhesion, predicted thiazole transporter, outer membrane protein/porin, protein (regulates length and adhesion of type 1 fimbriae, and mediates mannose binding), antiphagocytosis, serum resistance, LPS O-antigen biosynthesis protein, and Tol-Pal system beta propeller repeat protein as per RAST and BV-BRC analysis. Specialized genes refer to specific genes that are unique to certain organisms or have specific functions within 46 selected strains (Table S1), as mentioned above. Strains belonging to the *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus cereus* groups were not included due to technical reasons in the main analysis. *Bacillus* spp. were accessed on the BV-BRC web server for selected sets of genes for the presence of AMR.

Eighteen genes unique to these microorganisms were selected based on criteria adopted for single copy number (Rekadwad et al., 2021): OXA-51, algJ, alpB, AQU-1, cphA2, CEPH-A3, ciaB, CMY-1/MOX, cphA5, cphA7, ebpA, ECP\_4655, fliC, MOX-7, MOX-9, CMY-1/MOX, RfbU, ThiU2,

and tolB (Table 2). These genes can play a crucial role in the adaptation, survival, and specialized functions of an organism.

#### 3.2. Elucidation of unique genes in a taxon based on single copy number

Based on screening criteria single copy number, selected 20 bacterial strains (out of 46 + strains belonging *Bacillus* groups) were screened out for the presence of unique taxa-specific genes and selected present single copy number genes highly specific to taxa or groups of similar strains in taxa, such as K09-14, FDAARGOS\_933, WP8-S18-ESBL-04, 71431, FDAARGOS\_1537, FDAARGOS\_916, FDAARGOS\_986, TR3\_1, LMG 24783, SRW-OG1, FDAARGOS\_632, NCTC 11168, EnGen0336 strain T5 acAro-supercont1.1, 477, MT5135, HS11286, PAO1, ZJ19-2, NCTC 12917, and LT2.

The gene similarity report suggests that the unique genes tolB, alpB, ecp\_4655, fliC, oxa-51, rfbU, thiU2, cmy-1-mox-7, aqu-1, cpha2, cpha3, cpha5, cmy-1/mox, and cmy-1-mox-9 were found in selected bacterial strains showing identity with other taxa (Table 3). No point mutations were detected in the selected genes during analysis. This indicates that during pathogenesis, such genes may be acquired by these bacteria either in the environment or through horizontal gene transfer. Further analysis within the genus *Aeromonas* indicates that cpha5, cmy-1/mox7, and cmy-1/mox9 are unique to the taxon compared to aqu-1, cpha2, cpha3, cpha7, and cmy-1/mox (Table 4).

#### 3.3. Unique genes specific to selected strains in the genus Aeromonas

A total of 37 strains among the genus *Aeromonas* were analyzed for the presence of unique genes and antimicrobial resistance. Of the 37 screened *Aeromonas* strains, 15 strains possessed various unique and antimicrobial resistance genes belonging to *A. allosaccharophila* 71431, *A. allosaccharophila* FDAARGOS\_933, *A. bivalvium* ZJ19-2 NODE 1, *A. caviae* WP8-S18-ESBL-04, *A. dhakensis* 71431, *A. encheleia* NCTC12917, *A. enteropelogenes* FDAARGOS\_1537, *A. eucrenophila* CECT 4224, *A. hydrophila* FDAARGOS\_916, *A. jandaei* FDAARGOS\_986, *A. media* TR3\_1, *A. rivuli* 20-VB00005, *A. salmonicida* SRW-OG1, *A. simiae* A6, and *A. veronii* FDAARGOS\_632 (Table 5a). Furthermore,

**Table 2**

List of scrutinized taxa containing inter- and intragenus strain-specific unique genes.

Sl. No.	Taxa	NCBI accession number	Previous reports
1	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> PAO1	NC_002516.2	Franklin & Ohman, 1996
2	<i>Helicobacter pylori</i> MT5135	NZ_CP071982.1	Bai et al., 2002
3	<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i> subsp. <i>jejuni</i> NCTC 11168	NC_002163.1	Parkhill et al., 2000
4	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> EnGen0336 strain T5 acAro-supercont1.1	NZ_KB944666.1	Nallapareddy et al., 2006
5	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> subsp. <i>pneumoniae</i> HS11286	NC_016845.1	No report available
6	<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> K09-14	NZ_CP043953.1	Brown et al., 2005
7	<i>Salmonella enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i> serovar <i>typhimurium</i> str. LT2	NC_003197.2	Xiang et al., 1994
8	<i>Haemophilus influenza</i> 477	NZ_CP007470.1	No report available
9	<i>Aeromonas dhakensis</i> 71431	NZ_CP084351.1	Wu et al., 2013
10	<i>Aeromonas allosaccharophila</i> FDAARGOS_933	NZ_CP065745.1	Wang et al., 2021
11	<i>Aeromonas enteropelogenes</i> FDAARGOS_1537	NZ_CP084358.1	No report available
12	<i>Aeromonas jandaei</i> FDAARGOS_986	NZ_CP066092.1	No report available
13	<i>Aeromonas veronii</i> FDAARGOS_632	NZ_CP044060.1	Ragupathi et al., 2020
14	<i>Aeromonas bivalvium</i> ZJ19-2	NZ_NXBQ01000001.1	No report available
15	<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916	NZ_CP065651.1	Bottino et al., 2015
16	<i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i> SRW-OG1	NZ_CP051883.1	No report available
17	<i>Aeromonas encheleia</i> NCTC12917	NZ_LR134376.1	No report available
18	<i>Aeromonas piscicola</i> LMG 24783	NZ_CDBL01000052.1	No report available
19	<i>Aeromonas caviae</i> WP8-S18-ESBL-04	NZ_AP022254.1	No report available
20	<i>Aeromonas media</i> TR3_1	NZ_CP075564.1	Ebmeyer et al., 2019

18 genes belong to the genus *Aeromonas* were disclosed that governs antimicrobial resistance through various mechanisms viz., Acyl-homoserine-lactone synthase (*asaI*), Type 3 secretion system (*ascV*), Arsenite oxidase subunit (*asoB*), Ambler Class beta-lactamase, carbapenem (*Ceph-A3*), Histidine kinase family (*ChpA*), CMY beta-lactamase (*cmy-1/mox*), Cyanophycin synthetase (*CphA*), OXA β-Lactamases (*Oxa-12*), 4-amino-6-deoxy-N-Acetyl-D-hexosaminyl-(Lipid carrier) acetyltransferase (*pglD\_3*), Acetylcholine receptor subunit beta-type acr-2 protein (*Acr-2*), Aminopeptidase PepA-related protein (*PepA*), Aminopeptidase Y (Arg, Lys, Leu preference) (*UO65*), AQU family (*Aqu*), Inhibitor of invertebrate i-type lysozyme, periplasmic (*PliI*), Bacteriocin lactacin-F subunit (*LafX*), Nudix dNTPase - *MutT/nudix* family protein (*DR0274*), Transporter 2, ATP binding cassette subfamily B member (*TapY2*) and T-type phycobiliprotein lyase (*CpeT*).

We have found that some *Aeromonas* taxa possesses completely unique and novel genes not showing identity with any other genes in the existing database such as *asaI* (*A. allosaccharophila* 71431, and *A. piscicola* LMG 24783), *ascV* (*A. diversa* CECT 4254), *asoB* (*A. allosaccharophila* 71431, *A. bivalvium* ZJ19-2 NODE\_1, and *A. eurenophila* CECT 4224), *ChpA* (*A. allosaccharophila* 71431, and *A. piscicola* LMG 24783), *CMY-1/MOX* (*A. bivalvium* ZJ19-2 NODE\_1), *cphA* (*A. allosaccharophila* 71431, and *A. piscicola* LMG 24783), *OXA-12* (*A. allosaccharophila* 71431), *Acr-2* (*A. diversa* CECT 4254), *PepA* (*A. allosaccharophila* 71431), *UO65* (*A. allosaccharophila* 71431, and *A. tecta* CECT 7082) *Aqu* (*A. allosaccharophila* 71431), *PliI* (*A. bivalvium* ZJ19-2 NODE\_1, and *A. eurenophila* CECT 4224), *DR0274*

(*A. allosaccharophila* 71431, and *A. tecta* CECT 7082), *TapY2* (*A. piscicola* LMG 24783), and *CpeT* (*A. allosaccharophila* 71431) (Table 5b). This suggests that some important strains, such as *A. allosaccharophila* 71431, *A. bivalvium* ZJ19-2 NODE\_1, *A. diversa* CECT 4254, *A. eurenophila* CECT 4224, *A. piscicola* LMG 24783, and *A. tecta* CECT 7082, and other strains, except those that show 95–100 % identity of genes (Table 5a), are potential bacteria to explore for deep analysis to find significant differences among strains belonging to the genus *Aeromonas*.

A total of 17 strains belonging to the genera *Aeromonas* (*A. allosaccharophila* 71,431 (NZ\_CP084351.1), *A. allosaccharophila* 71,431 (NZ\_CP084351.1), *A. dhakensis* 71,431 (NZ CP084351.1), *A. dhakensis* 71,431 (NZ CP084351.1), *A. hydrophila* FDAARGOS\_916 (NZ\_CPO65651.1), *A. hydrophila* FDAARGOS\_916 (NZ CP065651.1), *A. allosaccharophila* FDAARGOS\_933 (NZ CP065745.1), *A. enteropelogenes* FDAARGOS\_1537 (NZ\_CP084358.1), *A. jandaei* FDAARGOS\_986 (NZ\_CP066092.1), *A. veronii* FDAARGOS\_632 (NZ\_CPO44060.1), *A. bivalvium* ZJ19-2 NODE\_1 (NZ\_NXBQ01000001.1), *A. salmonicida* SRW-OG1 (NZ\_CP051883.1), *A. encheleia* NCTC12917 (NZ\_LR134376.1), *A. encheleia* NCTC12917 (NZ\_LR134376.1), *A. piscicola* LMG 24783 (NZ\_CDBL01000052.1), *A. caviae* WP8-S18-ESBL-04 (NZ\_AP022254.1), and *A. media* TR3\_1 (NZ\_CPO75564.1)) were further investigated for disclosed unique genes such as *aqu-1*, *cpha2*, *aqu-1\_d*, *cpha2*, *aqu-1\_h*, *cpha2*, *cepha3\_a*, *cepha3\_e*, *cepha3\_j*, *cepha3\_y*, *cmy-1/mox*, *cpha5*, *cpha7\_e*, *cmy-1/mox*, *cpha7\_p*, *cmy-1-mox-7*, and *cmy-1-mox-9* to infer either significant differences or similarities among genes (Fig. 1). The heatmap suggests that almost all unique genes in the genus *Aeromonas* have significant differences rather than similarities among unique genes on a scale of 0 to 1. It has been recorded that *aqu1*, *cpha2*, and those showing values less than 0.85 have significant differences among genes.

### 3.4. Inference from specific validation of novel and unique genes

Antibiotic resistance genes belonging to the genera *Aeromonas*, *Pseudomonas*, *Helicobacter*, *Campylobacter*, *Enterococcus*, *Klebsiella*, *Acinetobacter*, *Salmonella*, *Haemophilus*, and *Bacillus* genera have been identified. They found that some unique genes in these strains showed similarity with genes from other taxa with antibiotic production ability or resistance to antibiotics (Table 5b). *Aeromonas* strains are known pathogens that infect fish, animals and humans. Hence, pathogenic strains belonging to the *Aeromonas* genera were analyzed in this study for the presence of the abovementioned genes and identified based on single copy 15 unique gene number criteria. These genes play a crucial role in the adaptation, survival, and specialized functions of organisms. Some of these genes were found to be involved in antibiotic resistance, pathogenicity, adherence, biofilm formation, and other functions. This investigation of the genus *Aeromonas* shows that 15 out of 37 strains were found to possess unique and antimicrobial resistance genes. Additionally, 18 genes related to antimicrobial resistance were identified within the genus. Some strains within the genus *Aeromonas* showed completely unique and novel genes not found in other strains. Further analysis using a heatmap showed significant differences among the unique genes in the genus *Aeromonas*.

## 4. Discussion

The 46 strains chosen were from *Aeromonas*, *Pseudomonas*, *Helicobacter*, *Campylobacter*, *Enterococcus*, *Klebsiella*, *Acinetobacter*, *Salmonella*, *Haemophilus*, and *Bacillus* genera. They all had 18 single-copy unique genes such as *algJ*, *alpB*, *AQU-1*, *CEPH-A3*, *ciaB*, *CMY-1-MOX-7*, *CMY-1-MOX-9*, *CMY-1/MOX*, *cphA2*, *cphA5*, *cphA7*, *ebpA*, *ECP\_4655*, *fliC*, *OXA-51*, *RfbU*, *ThiU2*, and *tolB* (Tables 2 and 3) that were involved in antibiotic resistance, pathogenicity, adherence, and biofilm formation. These suggest that identified genes can play a crucial role in the adaptation, survival, and specialized functions of an organism (Evans

**Table 3**

Presence of 18 unique taxa-specific genes in another genera or domain.

Gene	Taxon	Gene ID in other taxa, if available	Name and NCBI Accession number of reported taxa
AQU-1, cphA2	<i>Aeromonas allosaccharophila</i> 71431 (NZ_CP084351.1)	114286262 (AQU-1)	<i>Camellia sinensis</i> cultivar Shuchazao unplaced genomic scaffold AHAU_CSS_1 Scaffold3615 (NW_021027072.1)
AQU-1, cphA2	<i>Aeromonas dhakensis</i> 71431 (NZ_CP084351.1)	NG_050396.1 (cphA2)	<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i> AER 19 cphA gene for subclass B2 metallo-beta-lactamase CphA2 (NG_050396.1)
AQU-1, cphA2	<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916 (NZ_CP065651.1)	_____	_____
CEPH-A3	<i>Aeromonas allosaccharophila</i> FDAARGOS_933 (NZ_CP065745.1)	_____	_____
CEPH-A3	<i>Aeromonas enteropelogenes</i> FDAARGOS_1537 (NZ_CP084358.1)	_____	_____
CEPH-A3	<i>Aeromonas jandaei</i> FDAARGOS_986 (NZ_CP066092.1)	_____	_____
CEPH-A3	<i>Aeromonas veronii</i> FDAARGOS_632 (NZ_CP044060.1)	_____	_____
CMY-1/MOX	<i>Aeromonas bivalvium</i> ZJ19-2 NODE_1 (NZ_NXBQ01000001.1)	_____	_____
cphA5	<i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i> SRW-OG1 (NZ_CP051883.1)	_____	_____
cphA7, CMY-1/MOX	<i>Aeromonas encheleia</i> NCTC12917 (NZ_LR134376.1)	NG_050400.1 (cphA7)	<i>Aeromonas jandaei</i> ATCC 49568 cphA gene for subclass B2 metallo-beta-lactamase CphA7 (NG_050400.1)
cphA7	<i>Aeromonas piscicola</i> LMG 24783 (NZ_CDBL01000052.1)	NG_050400.1 (cphA7)	<i>Aeromonas jandaei</i> ATCC 49568 cphA gene for subclass B2 metallo-beta-lactamase CphA7 (NG_050400.1)
CMY-1-MOX-7	<i>Aeromonas caviae</i> WP8-S18-ESBL-04 (NZ_AP022254.1)	18813570 (MOX-7)	<i>Serpula lacrymans</i> var. <i>lacrymans</i> S7.9 unplaced genomic scaffold SERLAScaffold_11 (NW_006763300.1)
CMY-1-MOX-9	<i>Aeromonas media</i> TR3_1 (NZ_CP075564.1)	18815923 (MOX-9)	<i>Serpula lacrymans</i> var. <i>lacrymans</i> S7.9 unplaced genomic scaffold SERLAScaffold_18 (NW_006763307.1)
algJ	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> PAO1 (NC_002516.2)	77219964 66491330 45624672 78258735  72998054 72394459 61792614 61708583 57261476 45621619 45522320 1182870 73734174 78554965 72192903 69747833 66647291 65075219 61868467 61648697 58532891 57607844 57474020 55845753 49870618 49614069 77179175 64093427 57399847 56069949 47554532 57518971  78504381 77277010 77257756 77247859 77187064 76211923 75527915 75198874 75192006 72498365 72478030 72422112 70103785 69858097 66761385	<i>Pseudomonas paraeruginosa</i> strain Cr1 (NZ_CP020560.1) <i>Legionella pneumophila</i> strain C9_S (NZ_CP015941.1) <i>Pseudomonas simiae</i> strain PCL1751 (NZ_CP010896.1) <i>Butyrivibrio crossotus</i> isolate MGYG-HGUT-01319 (NZ_CABKNR010000014.1) <i>Pseudomonas citronellolis</i> strain P3B5 (NZ_CP014158.1) <i>Pseudomonas coronafaciens</i> pv. <i>oryzae</i> str. 1.6 (NZ_CP046035.1) <i>Pseudomonas avellanae</i> strain CC1416 contig318.1 (NZ_AVEP02000318.1) <i>Pseudomonas lactis</i> strain SS101 (NZ_CM001513.1) <i>Pseudomonas brassicacearum</i> strain 3Re-7 (NZ_CP034725.1) <i>Pseudomonas simiae</i> strain PCL1751 (NZ_CP010896.1) <i>Pseudomonas putida</i> NBRC 14164 (NC_021505.1) <i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>tomato</i> str. DC3000 (NC_004578.1) <i>Pseudomonas tremae</i> strain PA-1-10F (NZ_CP066270.1) <i>Pseudomonas extremaustralis</i> strain DSM 17835 (NZ_LT629689.1) <i>Pseudomonas umsongensis</i> strain CY-1 (NZ_CP051487.1) <i>Pseudomonas allroputida</i> strain NM12441_06 (NZ_JAJSPR010000005.1) <i>Pseudomonas mandelii</i> strain KGI MA19 (NZ_CP081178.1) <i>Pseudomonas congelans</i> strain DSM 14939 (NZ_FNJH01000002.1) <i>Pseudomonas amygdali</i> pv. <i>tabaci</i> str. ATCC 11528 (NZ_CP042804.1) <i>Pseudomonas chlororaphis</i> strain glu-1 (NZ_CP061079.1) <i>Pseudomonas asiatica</i> strain RYU5 RYU5_unitig_0 (NZ_BLJF01000001.1) <i>Pseudomonas mendocina</i> SS.2 (NZ_CP013124.1) <i>Pseudomonas protegens</i> CHAO (NZ_LS999205.1) <i>Pseudomonas tolaasii</i> NCPPB 2192 Ga0070648_11 (NZ_PHHD01000001.1) <i>Pseudomonas monteili</i> strain B5 (NZ_CP022562.1) <i>Pseudomonas plecoglossicida</i> strain XSDHY-P (NZ_CP031146.1) <i>Pseudomonas guariconensis</i> strain MR119 MR119_8 (NZ_PJCP01000008.1) <i>Pseudomonas fulva</i> strain YAB-1 contig13 (NZ_LAWW010000013.1) <i>Pseudomonas otitidis</i> strain MrB4 (NZ_AP022642.1) <i>Pseudomonas yamanorum</i> strain LBUM636 (NZ_CP012400.2) <i>Pseudomonas veronii</i> strain R02 (NZ_CP018420.1) <i>Pseudomonas proteolytica</i> strain WS 5126 4_283282_20.4743 (NZ_JAAQXL010000004.1) <i>Pseudomonas parafulva</i> NBRC 16636 (NZ_BBIU01000020.1) <i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> strain Susan2139 (NZ_CP074578.1) <i>Marinobacter salarius</i> strain SMR5 (NZ_CP020931.1) <i>Pseudomonas carnis</i> strain NWU Be30 (NZ_JAMKPY010000008.1) <i>Pseudomonas capsici</i> strain NCPPB2479 (NZ_JAOXME010000028.1) <i>Pseudomonas mediterranea</i> strain DSM 16733 (NZ_LT629790.1) <i>Pseudomonas atacamensis</i> strain SM1 (NZ_CP070503.1) <i>Pseudomonas kurunegalensis</i> strain T2909-1 1 (NZ_JALKHE010000002.1) <i>Pseudomonas siliginis</i> strain OTUGBANI1 (NZ_CP099598.1) <i>Pseudomonas marginalis</i> strain PgKB35 contig2 (NZ_VTFG01000002.1) <i>Pseudomonas moraviensis</i> strain LMG 24280 (NZ_LT629788.1) <i>Pseudomonas juntendi</i> strain PP_2463 (NZ_CP091088.1) <i>Pseudomonas gessardii</i> strain LMG 21604 (NZ_FNKR01000003.1) <i>Pseudomonas savastanoi</i> strain MHT1 (NZ_CP076652.1) <i>Pseudomonas poae</i> strain LMG 21465 (NZ_LT629706.1)

(continued on next page)

**Table 3 (continued)**

Gene	Taxon	Gene ID in other taxa, if available	Name and NCBI Accession number of reported taxa
		64467080	<i>Pseudomonas cannabina</i> pv. <i>alisalensis</i> strain MAFF 301419 (NZ_CP067022.1)
		61932304	<i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i> strain B3 (NZ_CP011835.1)
		61881971	<i>Pseudomonas lundensis</i> strain 2T.2.5.2 (NZ_CP062158.2)
		61828961	<i>Pseudomonas synxantha</i> strain R6-28-08 (NZ_CP027756.1)
		61637163	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> strain ATCC 13525 (NZ_LT907842.1)
		58768986	<i>Pseudomonas mosselii</i> strain PtA1 (NZ_CP024159.1)
		58766660	<i>Pseudomonas mosselii</i> strain PtA1 (NZ_CP024159.1)
		58694899	<i>Pseudomonas rhodesiae</i> strain NL2019 (NZ_CP054205.1)
		57661674	<i>Pseudomonas gingeri</i> strain A6001 (NZ_JACAOR010000008.1)
		57633271	<i>Pseudomonas koreensis</i> strain LMG 21318 (NZ_LT629687.1)
		57377827	<i>Pseudomonas azotoformans</i> strain LMG 21611 (NZ_LT629702.1)
		55644702	<i>Pseudomonas corrugata</i> strain RM1-1-4 (NZ_CP014262.1)
		47765936	<i>Pseudomonas viridisflava</i> strain CFBP 1590 isolate E12-5 (NZ_LT855380.1)
		45541106	<i>Pseudomonas cichorii</i> JBC1 (NZ_CP007039.1)
		42930483	<i>Pseudomonas alcaligenes</i> strain NEB 585 (NZ_CP014784.1)
		31709204	<i>Pseudomonas lurida</i> strain L228 (NZ_CP015639.1)
		878551	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> PAO1 algX (NC_002516.2)
alpB	<i>Helicobacter pylori</i> MT5135 (NZ_CP071982.1)	124639028	<i>Helicoverpa zea</i> isolate HzStark Cry1AcR (NC_061469.1)
		100125692	<i>Triticum aestivum</i> cultivar Chinese Spring chromosome 4A (NC_057803.1)
		542895	<i>Triticum aestivum</i> cultivar Chinese Spring chromosome 7D (NC_057814.1)
ciaB	<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i> subsp. <i>jejuni</i> NCTC 11168 (NC_002163.1)	7411001	<i>Campylobacter lari</i> RM2100 (NC_012039.1)
		78326632	<i>Arcobacter nitrofigilis</i> DSM 7299 (NC_014166.1)
		77176464	<i>Campylobacter ureolyticus</i> strain LMG 6451 (NZ_CP053832.1)
		66544092	<i>Campylobacter coli</i> strain FDAARGOS_735 (NZ_CP046317.1)
		61065105	<i>Campylobacter fetus</i> strain CFF00A031 (NZ_CP059443.1)
		61001623	<i>Campylobacter curvus</i> strain ATCC 35224 (NZ_CP053826.1)
		60991106	<i>Campylobacter showae</i> strain ATCC 51146 (NZ_CP012544.1)
		77266361	<i>Campylobacter vulpis</i> strain 251/13 (NZ_CP041617.1)
		56587051	<i>Campylobacter armoricus</i> strain CCUG 73571 (NZ_CP053825.1)
		56509597	<i>Campylobacter hyoilectinalis</i> subsp. <i>lawsonii</i> strain CHYS (NZ_CP053828.1)
		44004343	<i>Campylobacter hepaticus</i> strain HV10 (NZ_CP031611.1)
		39299964	<i>Aliarcobacter thereus</i> LMG 24486 AA347_contig000001 (NZ_LLKQ01000001.1)
		905214	<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i> subsp. <i>jejuni</i> NCTC 11168 (NC_002163.1)
		66539337	<i>Helicobacter cinaedi</i> PAGU611 (NC_017761.1)
		56463744	<i>Aliarcobacter butzleri</i> ED-1 (NC_017187.1)
		61153890	<i>Campylobacter pinnipediorum</i> subsp. <i>pinnipediorum</i> strain RM17261 (NZ_CP012547.1)
		68759512	<i>Campylobacter sputorum</i> bv. <i>paraureolyticus</i> LMG 11764 strain LMG 17589 (NZ_CP019684.1)
		46921585	<i>Campylobacter lanienae</i> NCTC 13004 (NZ_CP015578.1)
		74432015	<i>Campylobacter insulaeigae</i> NCTC 12927 (NZ_CP007770.1)
		77230936	<i>Campylobacter upsaliensis</i> 17-M197059 (NZ_OU701459.1)
		66287961	<i>Campylobacter volucris</i> strain LMG 24380 (NZ_CP043428.1)
		61750346	<i>Aliarcobacter skirrowii</i> CCUG 10374 (NZ_CP032099.1)
		57004565	<i>Helicobacter pullorum</i> strain NCTC13156 (NZ_UGJF01000001.1)
		56461800	<i>Aliarcobacter cryaerophilus</i> ATCC 43158 (NZ_CP032823.1)
		52037112	<i>Campylobacter helveticus</i> strain ATCC 51209 (NZ_CP020478.1)
		28663259	<i>Campylobacter concisus</i> strain ATCC 33237 (NZ_CP012541.1)
		61924358	<i>Clostridium innocuum</i> strain ATCC 14501 (NZ_CP048838.1)
		68118740	<i>Naegleria fowleri</i> strain ATCC 30894 (NW_025407941.1)
		54452205	<i>Macroventuria anomochaeta</i> strain CBS 525.71 (NW_022985375.1)
ebpA	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> EnGen0336 (NZ_KB944666.1)	1050	<i>Homo sapiens</i> chromosome 19, GRCh38.p14 (NC_000019.10)
		12606	<i>Mus musculus</i> strain C57BL/6J chromosome 7, GRCm39 (NC_000073.7)
		110596866	<i>Homo sapiens</i> chromosome 8, GRCh38.p14 (NC_000008.11)
		12608	<i>Mus musculus</i> strain C57BL/6J chromosome 2, GRCm39 (NC_000068.8)
		19016	<i>Mus musculus</i> strain C57BL/6J chromosome 6, GRCm39 (NC_000072.7)
		111832672	<i>Mus musculus</i> strain C57BL/6J chromosome 5, GRCm39 (NC_000071.7)
		73389	<i>Mus musculus</i> strain C57BL/6J chromosome 12, GRCm39 (NC_000078.7)
		5468	<i>Homo sapiens</i> chromosome 3, GRCh38.p14 (NC_000003.12)
		861	<i>Homo sapiens</i> chromosome 21, GRCh38.p14 (NC_000021.9)
		5241	<i>Homo sapiens</i> chromosome 11, GRCh38.p14 (NC_000011.10)
		56729	<i>Homo sapiens</i> chromosome 19, GRCh38.p14 (NC_000019.10)
		4297	<i>Homo sapiens</i> chromosome 11, GRCh38.p14 (NC_000011.10)
		1051	<i>Homo sapiens</i> chromosome 20, GRCh38.p14 (NC_000020.11)
		13653	<i>Mus musculus</i> strain C57BL/6J chromosome 18, GRCm39 (NC_000084.7)
		387173	<i>Mus musculus</i> strain C57BL/6J chromosome 16, GRCm39 (NC_000082.7)
		6548	<i>Homo sapiens</i> chromosome 1, GRCh38.p14 (NC_000001.11)
		20787	<i>Mus musculus</i> strain C57BL/6J chromosome 11, GRCm39 (NC_000077.7)
		6659	<i>Homo sapiens</i> chromosome 6, GRCh38.p14 (NC_000006.12)
		5598	<i>Homo sapiens</i> chromosome 17, GRCh38.p14 (NC_000017.11)
		723848	<i>Mus musculus</i> strain C57BL/6J chromosome 4, GRCm39 (NC_000070.7)
		11091	<i>Homo sapiens</i> chromosome 9, GRCh38.p14 (NC_000009.12)

(continued on next page)

**Table 3 (continued)**

Gene	Taxon	Gene ID in other taxa, if available	Name and NCBI Accession number of reported taxa
		723814 57264 20471 28951 13592 140815 18105 396728	<i>Mus musculus</i> strain C57BL/6J chromosome X, GRCm39 (NC_000086.8) <i>Mus musculus</i> strain C57BL/6J chromosome 8, GRCm39 (NC_000074.7) <i>Mus musculus</i> strain C57BL/6J chromosome 12, GRCm39 (NC_000078.7) <i>Homo sapiens</i> chromosome 2, GRCh38.p14 (NC_000002.12) <i>Mus musculus</i> strain C57BL/6J chromosome 14, GRCm39 (NC_000080.7) <i>Danio rerio</i> strain Tuebingen chromosome 7, GRCz11 (NC_007118.7) <i>Mus musculus</i> strain C57BL/6J chromosome 13, GRCm39 (NC_000079.7) <i>Sus scrofa</i> isolate TJ Tabasco breed Duroc chromosome 13 Sscrofa11.1 (NC_010455.5)
ECP_4655	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> subsp. <i>pneumoniae</i> HS11286 (NC_016845.1)	ABG72591.1	FimH protein precursor [ <i>Escherichia coli</i> 536] (ABG72591.1)
flxC	<i>Escherichia coli</i> O157 H7 str. Sakai (NC_002695.2)	Many	_____
OXA-51	<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> K09-14 (NZ_CPO043953.1)	_____	_____
RfbU	<i>Salmonella enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i> serovar <i>Typhimurium</i> str. LT2 (NC_003197.2)	1238129 1789671	<i>Shigella flexneri</i> 2a str. 301 plasmid pCP301 (NC_004851.1) <i>Escherichia coli</i> O157H7 str. Sakai plasmid pO157 (NC_002128.1)
ThiU2	<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> 477 (NZ_CPO07470.1)	_____	_____
tolB	<i>Vibrio proteolyticus</i> NBRC 13287 (NZ_BATJ01000001.1)	Many	_____

**Table 4**

Inter- and intrataxa presence of discovered unique genes.

Strain	Unique gene	aqu-1	cpha2	cpha3	cmy-1/mox	cpha5	cpha7	cmy-1-mox-7	cmy-1-mox-9
<i>Aeromonas allosaccharophila</i> 71431 (NZ_CPO84351.1)	<i>AQU-1</i> , <i>cpha2</i>								
<i>Aeromonas dhakensis</i> 71431 (NZ_CPO84351.1)	<i>AQU-1</i> , <i>cpha2</i>								
<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916 (NZ_CPO65651.1)	<i>AQU-1</i> , <i>cpha2</i>								
<i>Aeromonas allosaccharophila</i> FDAARGOS_933 (NZ_CPO65745.1)	<i>CEPH-A3</i>								
<i>Aeromonas enteropelogenes</i> FDAARGOS_1537 (NZ_CPO84358.1)	<i>CEPH-A3</i>								
<i>Aeromonas jandaei</i> FDAARGOS_986 (NZ_CPO66092.1)	<i>CEPH-A3</i>								
<i>Aeromonas veronii</i> FDAARGOS_632 (NZ_CPO44060.1)	<i>CEPH-A3</i>								
<i>Aeromonas bivalvium</i> ZJ19-2 NODE_1 (NZ_NXBQ01000001.1)	<i>CMY-1/MOX</i>								
<i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i> SRW-OG1 (NZ_CPO51883.1)	<i>cpha5</i>								
<i>Aeromonas encheleia</i> NCTC12917 (NZ_LR134376.1)	<i>CMY-1/MOX</i> , <i>cpha7</i>								
<i>Aeromonas piscicola</i> LMG 24783 (NZ_CDBL01000052.1)	<i>cpha7</i>								
<i>Aeromonas caviae</i> WP8-S18-ESBL-04 (NZ_AP022254.1)	<i>CMY-1-MOX-7</i>								
<i>Aeromonas media</i> TR3_1 (NZ_CPO75564.1)	<i>CMY-1-MOX-9</i>								

**Note:** Cells highlighted in green color represent inter- and intra-taxon presence of genes

and Amyes, 2014; McMillan et al. 2019). According to a few investigations, bacterial strains such as *Staphylococcus aureus* (Oogai et al. 2011), *Helicobacter pylori* (Yamaoka, 2010; Wang et al. 2015), *Escherichia coli* (Bidet et al. 2012), *Salmonella* spp. (Jennings et al. 2017),

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Olejnickova et al. 2014), and *Streptococcus suis* (Wu et al., 2013) produce a variety of virulence factors, including toxins, immune-modulating agents, and exoenzymes. Those strains were investigated in this paper, and one of the most interesting findings of the

**Table 5a**

Presence of 18 unique or antimicrobial resistance genes in the *Aeromonas*.

Unique/AMR gene	Code	Strains	Taxa	Accession No.	Product size (bp)	Identity (%) in BLAST
Acyl-homoserine-lactone synthase	<i>asl</i>	12	<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	624	0
			<i>A. allosaccharophila</i>	NZ_CP065745.1	651	1
			FDAARGOS_933			
			<i>A. caviae</i> WP8-S18-ESBL-04	NZ_AP022254.1	630	51
			<i>A. dhakensis</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	624	24
			<i>A. encheleia</i> NCTC12917	NZ_LR134376.1	627	3
			<i>A. enteropelogenes</i>	NZ_CP084358.1	651	5
			FDAARGOS_1537			
			<i>A. hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916	NZ_CP065651.1	624	79
			<i>A. media</i> TR3_1	NZ_CP075564.1	627	14
			<i>A. piscicola</i> LMG 24783	NZ_CDBL01000052.1	624	0
			<i>A. rivuli</i> 20-VB00005	NZ_CP079742.1	657	1,9
			<i>A. salmonicida</i> SRW-OG1	NZ_CP051883.1	624	37
			<i>A. veronii</i> FDAARGOS_632	NZ_CP044060.1	651	37
			<i>A. allosaccharophila</i>	NZ_CP065745.1	2118	3
Type 3 secretion system	<i>ascV</i>	5	FDAARGOS_933			
			<i>A. diversa</i> CECT 4254	NZ_CDCE01000029.1	2115	0
			<i>A. encheleia</i> NCTC12917	NZ_LR134376.1	2118	2
			<i>A. hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916	NZ_CP065651.1	2115	24
			<i>A. jandaei</i> FDAARGOS_986	NZ_CP066092.1	2118	11
Arsenite oxidase subunit	<i>asoB</i>	15	<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	1281	0
			<i>A. allosaccharophila</i>	NZ_CP065745.1	1320	2
			FDAARGOS_933			
			<i>A. bivalvium</i> ZJ19-2 NODE 1	NZ_NXBQ01000001.1	1278	0
			<i>A. caviae</i> WP8-S18-ESBL-04	NZ_AP022254.1	1281	52
			<i>A. dhakensis</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	1281	27
			<i>A. encheleia</i> NCTC12917	NZ_LR134376.1	1278	3
			<i>A. enteropelogenes</i>	NZ_CP084358.1	1281	6
			FDAARGOS_1537			
			<i>A. eucrenophila</i> CECT 4224	NZ_CDDF01000005.1	1287	0
			<i>A. hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916	NZ_CP065651.1	1281	71
			<i>A. jandaei</i> FDAARGOS_986	NZ_CP066092.1	1278	13
			<i>A. media</i> TR3_1	NZ_CP075564.1	1278	14
			<i>A. rivuli</i> 20-VB00005	NZ_CP079742.1	1290	1
			<i>A. salmonicida</i> SRW-OG1	NZ_CP051883.1	1281	34
Ambler Class beta-lactamase, carbapenem	CEPH-A3	4	<i>A. simiae</i> A6	NZ_CP040449.1	1272	1
			<i>A. veronii</i> FDAARGOS_632	NZ_CP044060.1	1281	43
			<i>A. allosaccharophila</i>	NZ_CP065745.1	765	6
			FDAARGOS_933			
			<i>A. enteropelogenes</i>	NZ_CP084358.1	603	1
Histidine kinase family	<i>ChpA</i>	11	FDAARGOS_1537			
			<i>A. jandaei</i> FDAARGOS_986	NZ_CP066092.1	765	15
			<i>A. veronii</i> FDAARGOS_632	NZ_CP044060.1	762	47
			<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	762	0
			<i>A. allosaccharophila</i>	NZ_CP065745.1	765	6
			FDAARGOS_933			
			<i>A. caviae</i> WP8-S18-ESBL-04	NZ_AP022254.1	210	68.75
			<i>A. dhakensis</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	762	35
			<i>A. encheleia</i> NCTC12917	NZ_LR134376.1	663	3
			<i>A. enteropelogenes</i>	NZ_CP084358.1	603	1
CMY beta-lactamase	CMY-1/ MOX	4	FDAARGOS_1537			
			<i>A. hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916	NZ_CP065651.1	765	64
			<i>A. jandaei</i> FDAARGOS_986	NZ_CP066092.1	765	15
			<i>A. piscicola</i> LMG 24783	NZ_CDBL01000052.1	765	0
			<i>A. salmonicida</i> SRW-OG1	NZ_CP051883.1	762	27
Cyanophycin synthetase	<i>cphA</i>	10	<i>A. veronii</i> FDAARGOS_632	NZ_CP044060.1	762	47
			<i>A. bivalvium</i> ZJ19-2 NODE_1	NZ_NXBQ01000001.1	1170	0
			<i>A. caviae</i> WP8-S18-ESBL-04	NZ_AP022254.1	1152	81
			<i>A. encheleia</i> NCTC12917	NZ_LR134376.1	1167	3
			<i>A. media</i> TR3_1	NZ_CP075564.1	1152	16
			<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	762	0
			<i>A. allosaccharophila</i>	NZ_CP065745.1	765	6
			FDAARGOS_933			
			<i>A. dhakensis</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	762	35
			<i>A. encheleia</i> NCTC12917	NZ_LR134376.1	663	3
OXA β-Lactamases	<i>OXA-12</i>	7	<i>A. enteropelogenes</i>	NZ_CP084358.1	603	1
			FDAARGOS_1537			
			<i>A. hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916	NZ_CP065651.1	765	64
			<i>A. jandaei</i> FDAARGOS_986	NZ_CP066092.1	765	15
			<i>A. piscicola</i> LMG 24783	NZ_CDBL01000052.1	765	0
			<i>A. salmonicida</i> SRW-OG1	NZ_CP051883.1	762	27
			<i>A. veronii</i> FDAARGOS_632	NZ_CP044060.1	762	47
			<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	795	0

(continued on next page)

**Table 5a (continued)**

Unique/AMR gene	Code	Strains	Taxa	Accession No.	Product size (bp)	Identity (%) in BLAST
			<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> FDAARGOS_933	NZ_CP065745.1	795	4
			<i>A. dhakensis</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	795	33
			<i>A. hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916	NZ_CP065651.1	795	78
			<i>A. jandaei</i> FDAARGOS_986	NZ_CP066092.1	795	13
			<i>A. salmonicida</i> SRW-OG1	NZ_CP051883.1	795	34
			<i>A. veronii</i> FDAARGOS_632	NZ_CP044060.1	795	49
4-amino-6-deoxy-N-Acetyl-D-hexosaminyl-(Lipid carrier) acetyltransferase	pglD_3	3	<i>A. caviae</i> WP8-S18-ESBL-04	NZ_AP022254.1	597	40
			<i>A. salmonicida</i> SRW-OG1	NZ_CP051883.1	609	100
			<i>A. simiae</i> A6	NZ_CP040449.1	645	100
Acetylcholine receptor subunit beta-type acr-2 protein	Acr-2	2	<i>A. diversa</i> CECT 4254	NZ_CDCE01000029.1	372	0
			<i>A. encheleia</i> NCTC12917	NZ_LR134376.1	372	3.1
Aminopeptidase PepA-related protein	PepA	14	<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	1497	0
			<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> FDAARGOS_933	NZ_CP065745.1	1500	2
			<i>A. bestiarum</i> GA97-22 Contig0001	NZ_PPUX01000001.1	1497	1
			<i>A. caviae</i> WP8-S18-ESBL-04	NZ_AP022254.1	1482	52
			<i>A. dhakensis</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	1497	25
			<i>A. encheleia</i> NCTC12917	NZ_LR134376.1	1491	3
			<i>A. enteropelogenes</i> FDAARGOS_1537	NZ_CP084358.1	1515	5
			<i>A. hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916	NZ_CP065651.1	1497	70
			<i>A. jandaei</i> FDAARGOS_986	NZ_CP066092.1	1506	12
			<i>A. media</i> TR3_1	NZ_CP075564.1	1494	14
			<i>A. rivuli</i> 20-VB00005	NZ_CP079742.1	1509	1
			<i>A. salmonicida</i> SRW-OG1	NZ_CP051883.1	1497	33
			<i>A. simiae</i> A6	NZ_CP040449.1	771	1
			<i>A. veronii</i> FDAARGOS_632	NZ_CP044060.1	1500	42
Aminopeptidase Y (Arg, Lys, Leu preference)	U065	10	<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	1068	0
			<i>A. caviae</i> WP8-S18-ESBL-04	NZ_AP022254.1	1068	52
			<i>A. dhakensis</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	1068	29
			<i>A. encheleia</i> NCTC12917	NZ_LR134376.1	1068	3
			<i>A. hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916	NZ_CP065651.1	1068	72
			<i>A. media</i> TR3_1	NZ_CP075564.1	1068	14
			<i>A. rivuli</i> 20-VB00005	NZ_CP079742.1	1077	1
			<i>A. salmonicida</i> SRW-OG1	NZ_CP051883.1	1068	33
			<i>A. simiae</i> A6	NZ_CP040449.1	1080	1
			<i>A. tecta</i> CECT 7082	NZ_CDCA01000036.1	1068	0
AQU family	Aqu	3	<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	1143	0
			<i>A. dhakensis</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	1143	45
			<i>A. hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916	NZ_CP065651.1	1149	74
Inhibitor of invertebrate i-type lysozyme, periplasmic	PlI	13	<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	438	25
			<i>A. bivalvium</i> ZJ19-2 NODE_1	NZ_NXBQ01000001.1	438	0
			<i>A. caviae</i> WP8-S18-ESBL-04	NZ_AP022254.1	438	52
			<i>A. dhakensis</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	438	25
			<i>A. encheleia</i> NCTC12917	NZ_LR134376.1	438	3
			<i>A. enteropelogenes</i> FDAARGOS_1537	NZ_CP084358.1	453	5
			<i>A. eucrenophila</i> CECT 4224	NZ_CDDF01000005.1	438	0
			<i>A. hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916	NZ_CP065651.1	438	72
			<i>A. jandaei</i> FDAARGOS_986	NZ_CP066092.1	438	13
			<i>A. media</i> TR3_1	NZ_CP075564.1	438	13
			<i>A. rivuli</i> 20-VB00005	NZ_CP079742.1	438	3.5
			<i>A. salmonicida</i> SRW-OG1	NZ_CP051883.1	438	33
Bacteriocin lactacin-F subunit	LafX	5	<i>A. veronii</i> FDAARGOS_632	NZ_CP044060.1	438	75.9
			<i>A. finlandensis</i> 4287D contig286	NZ_JRGK01000286.1	339	0
			<i>A. hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916	NZ_CP065651.1	339	57.8
			<i>A. jandaei</i> FDAARGOS_986	NZ_CP066092.1	339	91.6
			<i>A. lacus</i> AE122 Contig147	NZ_JRGM01000147.1	339	0
			<i>A. rivuli</i> 20-VB00005	NZ_CP079742.1	339	100
Nudix dNTPase - MutT/nudix family protein	DR0274	13	<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	558	0
			<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> FDAARGOS_933	NZ_CP065745.1	564	2
			<i>A. caviae</i> WP8-S18-ESBL-04	NZ_AP022254.1	558	52
			<i>A. dhakensis</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	558	29
			<i>A. encheleia</i> NCTC12917	NZ_LR134376.1	552	3.7
			<i>A. enteropelogenes</i> FDAARGOS_1537	NZ_CP084358.1	561	6
			<i>A. hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916	NZ_CP065651.1	558	73
			<i>A. jandaei</i> FDAARGOS_986	NZ_CP066092.1	561	12
			<i>A. media</i> TR3_1	NZ_CP075564.1	558	14
			<i>A. rivuli</i> 20-VB00005	NZ_CP079742.1	579	16.6
			<i>A. salmonicida</i> SRW-OG1	NZ_CP051883.1	558	33

(continued on next page)

**Table 5a (continued)**

Unique/AMR gene	Code	Strains	Taxa	Accession No.	Product size (bp)	Identity (%) in BLAST
Transporter 2, ATP binding cassette subfamily B member	TapY2	4	<i>A. tecta</i> CECT 7082	NZ_CDCA01000036.1	558	0
			<i>A. veronii</i> FDAARGOS_632	NZ_CP044060.1	564	43
			<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> FDAARGOS_933	NZ_CP065745.1	282	3.3
			<i>A. jandaei</i> FDAARGOS_986	NZ_CP066092.1	276	90.9
T-type phycobiliprotein lyase	CpeT	12	<i>A. piscicola</i> LMG 24783	NZ_CDBL01000052.1	279	0
			<i>A. veronii</i> FDAARGOS_632	NZ_CP044060.1	282	66.6
			<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	675	0
			<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> FDAARGOS_933	NZ_CP065745.1	651	2.3
			<i>A. caviae</i> WP8-S18-ESBL-04	NZ_AP022254.1	666	52
			<i>A. dhakensis</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	675	25
			<i>A. enteropelogenes</i> FDAARGOS_1537	NZ_CP084358.1	651	31.5
			<i>A. hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916	NZ_CP065651.1	675	72
			<i>A. jandaei</i> FDAARGOS_986	NZ_CP066092.1	645	11
			<i>A. media</i> TR3_1	NZ_CP075564.1	660	14
			<i>A. rivuli</i> 20-VB00005	NZ_CP079742.1	684	1
			<i>A. salmonicida</i> SRW-OG1	NZ_CP051883.1	684	24
			<i>A. simiae</i> A6	NZ_CP040449.1	636	100
			<i>A. veronii</i> FDAARGOS_632	NZ_CP044060.1	651	53.1

**Table 5b**Presence of 15 unique or antimicrobial resistance genes in the *Aeromonas*.

Unique/AMR gene	Code	Taxa	Accession No.	Identity (%) in BLAST
Acyl-homoserine-lactone synthase	<i>asl</i>	<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	0
Type 3 secretion system	<i>ascV</i>	<i>A. piscicola</i> LMG 24783	NZ_CDBL01000052.1	0
Arsenite oxidase subunit	<i>asoB</i>	<i>A. diversa</i> CECT 4254	NZ_CDCE01000029.1	0
Histidine kinase family	<i>ChpA</i>	<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	0
CMY beta-lactamase	<i>CMY-1/MOX</i>	<i>A. bivalvium</i> ZJ19-2 NODE_1	NZ_NXBQ01000001.1	0
Cyanophycin synthetase	<i>cphA</i>	<i>A. eutrenophila</i> CECT 4224	NZ_CDDF01000005.1	0
OXA β-Lactamases	<i>OXA-12</i>	<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	0
Acetylcholine receptor subunit beta-type acr-2 protein	<i>Acr-2</i>	<i>A. diversa</i> CECT 4254	NZ_CDCE01000029.1	0
Aminopeptidase PepA-related protein	<i>PepA</i>	<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	0
Aminopeptidase Y (Arg, Lys, Leu preference)	<i>UO65</i>	<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	0
AQU family	<i>Aqu</i>	<i>A. tecta</i> CECT 7082	NZ_CDCA01000036.1	0
Inhibitor of invertebrate i-type lysozyme, periplasmic	<i>Plil</i>	<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	0
Nudix dNTPase - MutT/nudix family protein	<i>DR0274</i>	<i>A. bivalvium</i> ZJ19-2 NODE_1	NZ_NXBQ01000001.1	0
Transporter 2, ATP binding cassette subfamily B member	<i>TapY2</i>	<i>A. eutrenophila</i> CECT 4224	NZ_CDDF01000005.1	0
T-type phycobiliprotein lyase	<i>CpeT</i>	<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71,431	NZ_CP084351.1	0

study is the discovery of completely unique and novel genes with significant differences (Xiang et al. 1994; Bai et al. 2002; Wu et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2021) among them and common in all strains were found in one genus, *Aeromonas*. That means *Aeromonas* is the hub for all those antimicrobial genes found (Piotrowska and Popowska, 2014; Luo et al. 2022; Dubey et al. 2022) in other genera investigated under this study. This information can be used to better understand the adaptation, survival, and virulence of antimicrobial resistance strains. It can also be used to develop new strategies for preventing and treating antimicrobial resistance and virulence (Beceiro et al. 2013) in *Pseudomonas*, *Helicobacter*, *Campylobacter*, *Enterococcus*, *Klebsiella*, *Acinetobacter*, *Salmonella*, *Haemophilus*, *Bacillus*, and *Aeromonas* infections. Research from several groups in the last ten years (Martino et al. 2011; Liang et al. 2022; Zhang et al. 2023) backs up the idea that some genes found in other genera were unique to *Aeromonas* or even to certain strains of *Aeromonas*. This was the prime reason to explore the genus *Aeromonas* in the later part of the investigations. The heatmap analysis showed that almost all unique genes in the genus *Aeromonas* have significant differences rather than similarities. This suggests that the unique genes are

highly diverse and may play a role in the diversity of *Aeromonas* strains. Hence, the findings of this study have a number of potential implications for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria (Bottoni et al. 2015; Ebmeyer et al. 2019; Ragupathi et al. 2020), not limited to *Aeromonas* infections. Hence, the identification of unique genes in antimicrobial resistance strains may lead to the development of new diagnostic tools, such as PCR tests, to detect specific unique genes detected in the above genera (Galhano et al. 2021). Furthermore, the identification of unique genes in certain strains could also lead to the development of new therapeutic targets for effective treatments for infections caused by antimicrobial-resistant bacteria in the case of some important diseases such as diabetes, malaria, tuberculosis, AIDS, cancer, etc., (Dadgostar, 2019; Demain and Sanchez, 2009; Qadri et al. 2023). Moreover, the findings of this study may help to understand the process of evolution and acquiring unique genes from taxa. This may help trace the emergence of new strains that may be more virulent or resistant to existing antibiotics.

Strain	Gene list	aqu-1	cpha2	aqu-1	cpha2	aqu-1	cpha2	cepha3	cepha3	cepha3	cepha3	cmy-1/ Mox	cpha5	cpha7	cmy-1/ Mox
<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71431 (NZ_CP084351.1)	AQU-1	0	1	0	0.87	0	0	0	0	0.78	0	0	0.8	0	0
<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> 71431 (NZ_CP084351.1)	cpha2	1	0	1	0	0.95	0.94	0.95	0.93	0.93	0	0.93	0.9	0.9	0.92
<i>A. dhakensis</i> 71431 (NZ_CP084351.1)	AQU-1	0	1	0	0.87	0	0	0	0	0.78	0	0	0.8	0	0
<i>A. dhakensis</i> 71431 (NZ_CP084351.1)	cpha2	1	0	1	0	0.95	0.94	0.95	0.93	0.93	0	0.93	0.9	0	0.92
<i>A. hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916 (NZ_CP065651.1)	AQU-1	0	0.87	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0
<i>A. hydrophila</i> FDAARGOS_916 (NZ_CP065651.1)	cpha2	0.95	0	0.95	0	1	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.92	0	0.91	0.87	0	0.9
<i>A. allosaccharophila</i> FDAARGOS_933 (NZ_CP065745.1)	CEPH-A3	0.94	0	0.94	0	0.92	1	0.96	0.96	0.95	0	0.91	0.89	0	0.92
<i>A. enteropelogenes</i> FDAARGOS_1537 (NZ_CP084358.1)	CEPH-A3	0.95	0	0.95	0	0.92	0.96	1	0.97	0.96	0	0.92	0.88	0	0.93
<i>A. jandaiae</i> FDAARGOS_986 (NZ_CP066092.1)	CEPH-A3	0.93	0	0.93	0	0.91	0.96	0.97	1	0.95	0	0.91	0.89	0	0.92
<i>A. veronii</i> FDAARGOS_632 (NZ_CP044060.1)	CEPH-A3	0.93	0	0.93	0	0.91	0.95	0.96	0.96	1	0	0.91	0.88	0	0.91
<i>A. bivalvium</i> ZJ19-2 NODE_1 (NZ_NXBQ01000001.1)	CMY-1/MOX	0	0.78	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0.79	0
<i>A. salmonicida</i> SRW-OG1 (NZ_CP051883.1)	cpha5	0.93	0	0.93	0	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.91	0.91	0	1	0.88	0	0.93
<i>A. encheleia</i> NCTC12917 (NZ_LR134376.1)	cpha7	0.9	0	0.9	0	0.87	0.89	0.88	0.89	0.88	0	0.89	1	0	0.89
<i>A. encheleia</i> NCTC12917 (NZ_LR134376.1)	CMY-1/MOX	0	0.8	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0.79	0	0	1	0
<i>A. piscicola</i> LMG 24783 (NZ_CDBL01000052.1)	cpha7	0.92	0	0.92	0	0.9	0.92	0.93	0.92	0.91	0	0.93	0.88	0	1
<i>A. caviae</i> WP8-S18-ESBL-04 (NZ_AP022254.1)	CMY-1-MOX-7	0	0.82	0	0.82	0	0	0	0	0	0.78	0	0	0.8	0
<i>A. media</i> TR3_1 (NZ_CP075564.1)	CMY-1-MOX-9	0	0.82	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0.82	0	0	0.81	0

**Fig. 1.** Heatmap of *aqu-1*, *cpha2*, *aqu-1\_d*, *cpha2*, *aqu-1\_h*, *cpha2*, *cepha3\_a*, *cepha3\_e*, *cepha3\_j*, *cepha3\_v*, *cmy-1/mox*, *cpha5*, *cpha7\_e*, *cmy-1/mox*, *cpha7\_p*, *cmy-1-mox\_7*, and *cmy-1-mox\_9* in the genus *Aeromonas*. (Note: 1. Similar genes found in a taxon have been suffixed by the letter of the strain name. For example, the *aqu1* gene, if found in multiple taxa, is suffixed with \_d in the case of *Aeromonas dhakensis*. 2. 0 = indicates 100 % difference, while 1 = indicates 100 % similarity).

## 5. Conclusions

Infections caused by antimicrobial-resistant bacteria such as WHO priority list of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria and previously reported AMR strains such as *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Aeromonas* spp., *Anaeromonas frigorifiresistens*, *Anaeromonas gelatinifytica*, *Bacillus* spp., *Campylobacter jejuni* subsp. *jejuni*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Helicobacter pylori*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* subsp. *pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar *Typhimurium*, *Thermaeromonas toyohensis*, and *Vibrio proteolyticus* are difficult to detect and treat and have become a global public health threat. This investigation discloses and presents a comprehensive analysis of 46 antimicrobial-resistant strains of 20 pathogenic bacterial taxa. Additionally, two different sets of 18 antibiotic-resistant and unique genes in WHO priority list bacterial strains and in *Aeromonas* spp., were identified. It was observed that 15 single-copy genes may be suitable for the detection of these pathogenic strains, which belong to 10 different genera, such as *Aeromonas*, *Pseudomonas*, *Helicobacter*, *Campylobacter*, *Enterococcus*, *Klebsiella*, *Acinetobacter*, *Salmonella*, *Haemophilus*, and *Bacillus*. Identified sets of strain-specific, unique genes that can be used to develop new diagnostic tools to confirm AMR genes in suspected AMR bacteria and track the spread of AMR in non-AMR strains in the environment and clinical settings such as a hospital, laboratories, department, outpatient facility, or primary clinic (medicine, rehabilitation, or wellness), mobile hospitals, and tertiary care hospitals. Thus, this research can be used to develop more effective strategies for surveillance, preventing and combating AMR.

## Funding

This research was funded by Yenepoya (Deemed to be University), India (Grant no. YU/SeedGrant/104–2021). The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Researchers Supporting Project Number (RSP2023R134), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

## CRedit authorship contribution statement

**Bhagwan Narayan Rekadwad:** Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Methodology, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition. **Nanditha Pramod:** Methodology, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing – original draft. **Manik Prabhu Narsing Rao:** Resources, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. **Abeer Hashem:** Resources, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing –

review & editing. **Graciela Dolores Avila-Quezada:** Resources, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. **Elsayed Fathi Abd Allah:** Project administration, Funding acquisition, Resources, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing.

## Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Researchers Supporting Project Number (RSP2023R134), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. BNR duly acknowledge funding support received from Yenepoya (Deemed to be University), India (Grant no. YU/SeedGrant/104–2021).

## Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2023.103869>.

## References

- Annunziato, G., 2019. Strategies to Overcome Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) making use of non-essential target inhibitors: a review. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 5844.
- Bai, Y., Zhang, Y.L., Wang, J.D., Lin, H.J., Zhang, Z.S., Zhou, D.Y., 2002. Conservative region of the genes encoding four adhesins of *Helicobacter pylori*: cloning, sequence analysis and biological information analysis. *Acad. J. First Med. Coll. p.l.a.* 22, 869–871.
- Beceiro, A., Tomás, M., Bou, G., 2013. Antimicrobial resistance and virulence: a successful or deleterious association in the bacterial world? *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 26, 185–230.
- Bidet, P., Bonarcorsi, S., Bingen, E., 2012. Virulence factors and pathophysiology of extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *Arch. Pediatr.* 19, S80–S92. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-693X\(12\)71279-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-693X(12)71279-4).
- Bikandi, J., San Millán, R., Rementería, A., Garaizar, J., 2004. In silico analysis of complete bacterial genomes: PCR, AFLP-PCR, and endonuclease restriction. *Bioinformatics* 20, 798–799. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg491>.
- Bottoni, C., Marcoccia, F., Compagnoni, C., Colapietro, M., Sabatini, A., Celenza, G., Segatore, B., Maturo, M.G., Amicosante, G., Perilli, M., 2015. Identification of new natural CphA Metallo-β-Lactamases CphA4 and CphA5 in *Aeromonas veronii* and *Aeromonas hydrophila* Isolates from municipal sewage in central Italy. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 59, 4990–4993. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00628-15>.
- Brown, S., Young, H.K., Amyes, S.G., 2005. Characterisation of OXA-51, a novel class D carbapenemase found in genetically unrelated clinical strains of *Acinetobacter baumannii* from Argentina. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 11, 15–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0891.2004.01016.x>.

- Dadgostar, P., 2019. Antimicrobial resistance: implications and costs. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 12, 3903–3910.
- de Almeida, I.N., da Silva Carvalho, W., Rossetti, M.L., Dalla Costa, E.R., de Miranda, S.S., 2013. Evaluation of six different DNA extraction methods for detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by means of PCR-IS6110: preliminary study. *BMC Res. Notes* 6, 561. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-6-561>.
- Demain, A., Sanchez, S., 2009. Microbial drug discovery: 80 years of progress. *J. Antibiot.* 62, 5–16.
- Dubey, S., Ager-Wick, E., Peng, B., Evensen, Ø., Sørum, H., Munang'andu, H.M., 2022. Characterization of virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes of *Aeromonas media* strain SD/21-15 from marine sediments in comparison with other *Aeromonas* spp. *Front. Microbiol.* 13, 1022639.
- Ebmeyer, S., Kristiansson, E., Larsson, D.G.J., 2019. CMY-1/MOX-family AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamases MOX-1, MOX-2 and MOX-9 were mobilized independently from three *Aeromonas* species. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 74, 1202–1206. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkz025>.
- Evans, B.A., Amyes, S.G., 2014. OXA  $\beta$ -lactamases. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 27 (2), 241–263.
- Ferrandis-Vila, M., Tiwari, S.K., Mamerow, S., Semmler, T., Mengen, C., Berens, C., 2022. Using unique ORFan genes as strain-specific identifiers for *Escherichia coli*. *BMC Microbiol.* 22, 135. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-022-02508-y>.
- Franklin, M.J., Ohman, D.E., 1996. Identification of algI and algJ in the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* alginate biosynthetic gene cluster which are required for alginate O acetylation. *J. Bacteriol.* 178, 2186–2195. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.178.8.2186-2195.1996>.
- Galhano, B.S., Ferrari, R.G., Panzenhagen, P., de Jesus, A.C.S., Conte-Junior, C.A., 2021. Antimicrobial resistance gene detection methods for bacteria in animal-based foods: a brief review of highlights and advantages. *Microorganisms* 9, 923.
- Gupta, A., Gupta, R., Singh, R. L., 2017. Microbes and Environment. In: Principles and Applications of Environmental Biotechnology for a Sustainable Future, in: Singh, R. (Eds.) Applied Environmental Science and Engineering for a Sustainable Future, Springer, Singapore. pp. 43–84.
- IDT, 2023a. PrimerQuest<sup>TM</sup> Tool, <https://sg.idtdna.com/pages/tools/primerquest?>, (assessed 15 May 2023).
- IDT, 2023b. OligoAnalyzer<sup>TM</sup> Tool, <https://sg.idtdna.com/pages/tools/oligoanalyzer?> (assessed 15 May 2023).
- Jennings, E., Thurston, T.L.M., Holden, D.W., 2017. *Salmonella* SPI-2 Type III secretion system effectors: molecular mechanisms and physiological consequences. *Cell Host. Microb.* 22, 217–231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.07.009>.
- Khan, A.K., 2022. Pathogenic Bacteria and Medical Treatment. *Arch. Clin. Microbiol.* 13, 200. <https://doi.org/10.36648/1989-8436X.22.16.8.200>.
- Liang, B., Ji, X., Jiang, B., Yuan, T., Gerile, C.L.M., Zhu, L., Wang, T., Li, Y., Liu, J., Guo, X., Sun, Y., 2022. Virulence, Antibiotic Resistance, and Phylogenetic Relationships of *Aeromonas* spp. Carried by Migratory Birds in China. *Microorganisms* 11, 7.
- Luo, X., Mu, K., Zhao, Y., Zhang, J., Qu, Y., Hu, D., Jia, Y., Dai, P., Weng, J., Wang, D., Yu, L., 2022. Emergence of blaNDM-1-Carrying *Aeromonas caviae* K433 Isolated from patient with community-acquired pneumonia. *Front. Microbiol.* 13, 825389.
- Martino, M.E., Fasolato, L., Montemurro, F., Rosteghin, M., Manfrin, A., Patarnello, T., Novelli, E., Cardazzo, B., 2011. Determination of microbial diversity of *Aeromonas* strains on the basis of multilocus sequence typing, phenotype, and presence of putative virulence genes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 4986–5000.
- McMillan, E.A., Gupta, S.K., Williams, L.E., Jové, T., Hiott, L.M., Woodley, T.A., Barrett, J.B., Jackson, C.R., Wasilenko, J.L., Simmons, M., Tillman, G.E., McClelland, M., Frye, J.G., 2019. Antimicrobial resistance genes, cassettes, and plasmids present in *Salmonella enterica* associated with United States food animals. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 832.
- Mullis, K., Faloona, F., Scharf, S., Saiki, R., Horn, G., Erlich, H., 1986. Specific enzymatic amplification of DNA in vitro: the polymerase chain reaction. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* 51, 263–273. <https://doi.org/10.1101/sqb.1986.051.01.032>.
- Nallapareddy, S.R., Singh, K.V., Sillanpää, J., Garsin, D.A., Höök, M., Erlandsen, S.L., Murray, B.E., 2006. Endocarditis and biofilm-associated pili of *Enterococcus faecalis*. *J. Clin. Invest.* 116, 2799–2807. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI29021>.
- Olejnickova, K., Hola, V., Ruzicka, F., 2014. Catheter-related infections caused by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: virulence factors involved and their relationships. *Pathog. Dis.* 72, 87–94. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2049-632X.12188>.
- Olson, R.D., Assaf, R., Brettin, T., Conrad, N., Cucinell, C., Davis, J.J., Dempsey, D.M., Dickerman, A., Dietrich, E.M., Kenyon, R.W., Kuscuoglu, M., Lefkowitz, E.J., Lu, J., Machi, D., Macken, C., Mao, C., Niewiadomska, A., Nguyen, M., Olsen, G.J., Overbeek, J.C., Parrello, B., Parrello, V., Porter, J.S., Pusch, G.D., Shukla, M., Singh, I., Stewart, L., Tan, G., Thomas, C., VanOeffelen, M., Vonstein, V., Wallace, Z. S., Warren, A.S., Wattam, A.R., Xia, F., Yoo, H., Zhang, Y., Zmasek, C.M., Scheuermann, R.H., Stevens, R.L., 2022. Introducing the bacterial and viral bioinformatics resource centre (BV-BRC): a resource combining PATRIC, IRD and ViPR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 51, D678–D689. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac1003>.
- Oogai, Y., Matsuo, M., Hashimoto, M., Kato, F., Sugai, M., Komatsuza, H., 2011. Expression of virulence factors by *Staphylococcus aureus* grown in serum. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 8097–8105. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.05316-11>.
- Otal, I., Martín, C., Vincent-Lévy-Frebault, V., Thierry, D., Gicquel, B., 1991. Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis using IS6110 as an epidemiological marker in tuberculosis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 29, 1252–1254. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.29.6.1252-1254.1991>.
- Parkhill, J., Wren, B.W., Mungall, K., Ketley, J.M., Churcher, C., Basham, D., Chillingworth, T., Davies, R.M., Feltwell, T., Holroyd, S., Jagels, K., Karlyshev, A.V., Moule, S., Pallen, M.J., Penn, C.W., Quail, M.A., Rajandream, M.A., Rutherford, K. M., van Vliet, A.H., Whitehead, S., Barrell, B.G., 2000. The genome sequence of the food-borne pathogen *Campylobacter jejuni* reveals hypervariable sequences. *Nature* 403, 665–668. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35001088>.
- Parte, A.C., Sardà Carbasse, J., Meier-Kolthoff, J.P., Reimer, L.C., Göker, M., 2020. List of Prokaryotic names with Standing in Nomenclature (LPSN) moves to the DSMZ. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 70, 5607–5612.
- Petti, C.A., 2007. Detection and identification of microorganisms by gene amplification and sequencing. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 44, 1108–1114. <https://doi.org/10.1086/512818>.
- Piotrowska, M., Popowska, M., 2014. The prevalence of antibiotic resistance genes among *Aeromonas* species in aquatic environments. *Ann. Microbiol.* 64, 921–934.
- Qadri, H., Shah, A.H., Alkanhani, M., Almilalibary, A., Mir, M.A., 2023. Immunotherapies against human bacterial and fungal infectious diseases: a review. *Front. Medicine* 10, 1135541.
- Ragupathi, N.K., Sethuvel, D.P., Anandan, S., Murugan, D., Asokan, K., Neethi Mohan, R. G., Vasudevan, K., Veeraraghavan, B., 2020. First hybrid complete genome of *Aeromonas veronii* reveals chromosome-mediated novel structural variant mcr-3.30 from a human clinical sample. *Access Microbiol.* 2, acmi000103. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acmi.000103>.
- Rajapaksha, P., Elbourne, A., Gangadoss, S., Brown, R., Cozzolino, D., Chapman, J., 2019. A review of methods for the detection of pathogenic microorganisms. *Analyst. Royal. Soc. Chem.* 144, 396–411. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8an01488d>.
- Rekadwad, B.N., Li, W.J., Rekha, P.D., 2021. The diversity of unique 1,4,5,6-Tetrahydro-2-methyl-4-pyrimidinecarboxylic acid coding common genes and Universal stress protein in Ectoine TRAP cluster (*UspA*) in 32 *Halomonas* species. *BMC. Res. Notes* 14, 296. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-021-05689-3>.
- Rocco, C., Duro, I., Di Rosa, S., Fagnano, M., Fiorentino, N., Vetromile, A., Adamo, P., 2016. Composite vs. discrete soil sampling in assessing soil pollution of agricultural sites affected by solid waste disposal. *J. Geochem. Explor.* 170, 30–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2016.08.004>.
- San Millán, R.M., Martínez-Ballesteros, I., Rementería, A., Garaizar, J., Bikandi, J., 2013. Online exercise for the design and simulation of PCR and PCR-RFLP experiments. *BMC. Res. Notes* 6, 513. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-6-513>.
- Serwecińska, L., 2020. Antimicrobials and antibiotic-resistant bacteria: a risk to the environment and to public health. *Water* 12, 3313.
- van Wyk, S., Harrison, C.H., Wingfield, B.D., De Vos, L., van der Merwe, N.A., Steenkamp, E.T., 2019. The RIPper, a web-based tool for genome-wide quantification of Repeat-Induced Point (RIP) mutations. *PeerJ* 7, e7447.
- Ventola, C.L., 2015. The antibiotic resistance crisis: part 1: causes and threats. *P & t.* 40, 277–283.
- Wang, Y., Hou, N., Rasooly, R., Gu, Y., He, X., 2021. Prevalence and genetic analysis of chromosomal mcr-3/7 in *Aeromonas* from U.S. animal-derived samples. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 667406. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.667406>.
- Wang, M.Y., Liu, X.F., Gao, X.Z., 2015. *Helicobacter pylori* virulence factors in development of gastric carcinoma. *Future Microbiol.* 10, 1505–1516. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.15.72>.
- Wu, C.J., Wang, H.C., Chen, P.L., Chang, M.C., Sunny Sun, H., Chou, P.H., Ko, W.C., 2013. AQU-1, a chromosomal class C  $\beta$ -lactamase, among clinical *Aeromonas dhakensis* isolates: distribution and clinical significance. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 42, 456–461. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2013.08.002>.
- Wu, T., Zhao, Z., Zhang, L., Ma, H., Lu, K., Ren, W., Liu, Z., Chang, H., Bei, W., Qiu, Y., Chen, H., 2011. Trigger factor of *Streptococcus suis* is involved in stress tolerance and virulence. *Microb. Pathog.* 51, 69–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2010.10.001>.
- Xiang, S.H., Hobbs, M., Reeves, P.R., 1994. Molecular analysis of the rfb gene cluster of a group D2 *Salmonella enterica* strain: evidence for its origin from an insertion sequence-mediated recombination event between group E and D1 strains. *J. Bacteriol.* 176, 4357–4365. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.176.14.4357-4365.1994>.
- Yamaoka, Y., 2010. Mechanisms of disease: *Helicobacter pylori* virulence factors. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 7, 629–641. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2010.154>.
- Zhang, T., Zhang, M., Xu, Z., He, Y., Zhao, X., Cheng, H., Chen, X., Xu, J., Ding, Z., 2023. The screening of the protective antigens of *Aeromonas hydrophila* using the reverse vaccinology approach: potential candidates for subunit vaccine development. *Vaccines* 11, 1266.